

Editorial Statistics 2013

Papers submitted 71

Of those papers were:

- rejected by editorial decision 30
- handed back to authors for revision (by editorial decision) 30
 - submitted to double-blind review after initial revision 9
 - rejected by editorial decision after initial revision 1
- altered to Research Notes --
- directly submitted to double-blind review 11
- rejected by unanimous reviewer decision --
- Rejection rate: 42%
- withdrawn by the authors 3
- cancelled by editorial decision 1
- accepted for publication after revision 4
 - published as Articles in 2013 --
 - published as Research Notes in 2013 --
 - scheduled to be published in 2014/2015 4

Average feedback duration
(i.e. time between submission of a paper and feedback): 48 days

Feedback loops of more than 100 days
(Editorial committee target line): 10

Reviews provided: 90

We express our gratitude to the following reviewers for their support provided to our journal through their critical and fair reviews in 2013:

Gyula Bakacsi	Shyqyri Llaci
Karoly Balaton	Ralph-Elmar Lungwitz
Grzegorz Belz	Silke Machold
Susanne Blazejewski	Csaba Mako
Dorota Bourne	Milan Maly
Zoltan Buzady	Wolfgang Mayrhofer

Andrea Calabrò	Katja Mihelic
Doina Catana	Dirk Morschett
Tomaž Čater	Zlatko Nedelko
Ed Clark	Jean-Pierre Neveu
Eckhard Dittrich	Raimo Nurmi
Miklós Dobák	Evgeny Polyakov
Christoph Dörrenbächer	Aleksy Pocztowski
Michael Dowling	Theo Postma
John Erpenbeck	Henriett Primecz
Jan Hendrik Fisch	Danijel Pucko
Anja Geigenmüller	Sheila Puffer
Mike Geppert	Kerstin Rego
Bruno Grancelli	Anna Remisova
Igor Gurkov	Andrea Reuss-Bradatanu
Balázs Heidrich	Rudi Rozman
Roland Helm	Stefan Schmid
Joachim Hentze	Christo Stojanov
Niels Hermes	Erna Szabo
Nigel Holden	Erwin Vondenhoff
Graham Hollinshead	Dieter Wagner
Dirk Holtbrügge	Peter Wald
Stefan Hüsig	Elke Weik
Akihiro Ishikawa	Ingo Winkler
Kari Liuhto	Bruno Završnik

Editorial Committee

Thomas Steger

Irma Rybnikova

Rainhart Lang