

Editorial Statistics 2014

Papers submitted 105 (+48%)

Of those papers were:

- rejected by editorial decision 54
- handed back to authors for revision (by editorial decision) 22
 - submitted to double-blind review after initial revision 4
 - rejected by editorial decision after initial revision 1
 - withdrawn by the authors 1
- altered to Research Notes 4
- directly submitted to double-blind review 25
- rejected by unanimous reviewer decision --
- Rejection rate: 52%
- withdrawn by the authors 1
- cancelled by editorial decision --
- accepted for publication after revision 7
 - published as Articles in 2014 --
 - published as Research Notes in 2014 --
 - scheduled to be published in 2015/2016 7

Average feedback duration
(i.e. time between submission of a paper and feedback): 59 days

Feedback loops of more than 100 days
(Editorial committee target line): 23

Reviews provided: 89

We express our gratitude to the following reviewers for their support provided to our journal through their critical and fair reviews in 2014:

Ruth Alas	Mirjana Kljajic Borstnar
Norbert Bach	Heiko Kohlenbecker
Gyula Bakacsi	Jure Kovac
Karoly Balaton	Olaf Kranz
Florian Becker-Ritterspach	Kari Liuhto

Grzegorz Belz	Shyqyri Llaci
Susanne Blazejewski	Csaba Mako
Dorota Bourne	Milan Maly
Zoltan Buzady	Wolfgang Mayrhofer
Andrea Calabrò	Katja Mihelic
Doina Catana	Jean-Pierre Neveu
Ed Clark	Ivan Novy
Sven-Olof Collin	Raimo Nurmi
Bruno Dallago	Evgeny Polyakov
Eckhard Dittrich	Aleksy Pochtowski
Christoph Dörrenbächer	Danijel Pucko
Vince Edwards	Sheila Puffer
John Erpenbeck	Philipp Ramin
Jan Hendrik Fisch	Anna Remisova
Anja Geigenmüller	Ihar Sahakiants
Victoria Golikova	Stefan Schmid
Igor Gurkov	Anna Soulsby
Nadine Heckmann	Tobias Specker
Balázs Heidrich	Christo Stojanov
Joachim Hentze	Erna Szabo
Niels Hermes	Dieter Wagner
Nigel Holden	Peter Wald
Graham Hollinshead	Elke Weik
Stefan Hüsig	Ralf Wetzels
Akihiro Ishikawa	Joachim Zweynert
Stephan Kaiser	

Editorial Committee

Thomas Steger

Irma Rybnikova

Rainhart Lang