

**Editorial Statistics 2012**

Papers submitted 86

Of those papers were:

- rejected by editorial decision 31
- handed back to authors for revision (by editorial decision) 34
- altered to Research Notes 2
- directly submitted to double-blind review 19
- submitted to double-blind review after initial revision 2
- rejected by unanimous reviewer decision --
- Rejection rate: 36%
- withdrawn by the authors 7
- cancelled by editorial decision 6
- accepted for publication after revision 11
- published as Articles in 2011 2
- published as Research Notes in 2011 --
- scheduled to be published in 2012 9

Average feedback duration  
(i.e. time between submission of a paper and feedback): 45 days

Feedback loops of more than 100 days  
(Editorial committee target line): 17

Reviews provided: 168

We express our gratitude to the following reviewers for their support provided to our journal through their critical and fair reviews in 2012:

Ruth Alas	Akihiro Ishikawa
Karoly Balaton	Steffen Jahn
Grzegorz Belz	Jure Kovac
Susanne Blazejewski	Kari Liuhto
Danijela Bogdanic	Shyqyri Llaci
Dorota Bourne	Ralph-Elmar Lungwitz
Markus Braun	Csaba Mako
Zoltan Buzady	Milan Maly

Doina Catana	Wolfgang Mayrhofer
Tomaž Čater	Snejina Michailova
Ed Clark	Dirk Morschett
Irem Demirkan	Raimo Nurmi
Christoph Dörrenbächer	Evgeny Polyakov
Michael Dowling	Aleksy Poczrowski
John Erpenbeck	Theo Postma
Jonas Gabrielsson	Danijel Pucko
Mike Geppert	Stefan Schmid
Igor Gurkov	Klaus-Peter Schulz
Balázs Heidrich	Tobias Specker
Roland Helm	Markus Stiglbauer
Joachim Hentze	Christo Stojanov
Niels Hermes	Erna Szabo
Nigel Holden	Hartmut Wächter
Graham Hollinshead	Dieter Wagner
Dirk Holtbrügge	Peter Wald
Stefan Hüsig	Bruno Završnik

Editorial Committee

Thomas Steger

Irma Rybnikova

Rainhart Lang