

Editorial Statistics 2011

Papers submitted 60

Of those papers were:

- rejected by editorial decision 15
- handed back to authors for revision (by editorial decision) 18
- altered to Research Notes 7
- submitted to double-blind review 25
- rejected by unanimous reviewer decision 2
- Rejection rate: 28%
- withdrawn by the authors 3
- accepted for publication after revision 9
- published as Articles in 2011 3
- published as Research Notes in 2011 2
- scheduled to be published in 2012 4

Average feedback duration
(i.e. time between submission of a paper and feedback): 39 days

Feedback loops of more than 100 days
(Editorial committee target line): 5

Reviews provided: 75

We express our gratitude to the following reviewers for their support provided to our journal through their critical and fair reviews in 2011:

Ruth Alas	Pieter Jansen
Gyula Bakacsi	Jure Kovac
Karoly Balaton	Kari Liuhto
Grzegorz Belz	Shyqyri Llaci
Susanne Blazejewski	Ralph-Elmar Lungwitz
Danijela Bogdanic	Milan Maly
Markus Braun	Snejina Michailova
Zoltan Buzady	Dirk Morschett
Doina Catana	Andreas Neubert
Barbara Čater	Jean-Pierre Neveu

Tomaž Čater	Aleksy Poczowski
Irem Demirkan	Evgeny Polyakov
Wolfgang Dorow	Theo Postma
Christoph Dörrenbächer	Danijel Pucko
Michael Dowling	Sheila Puffer
Margit Enke	Kerstin Rego
Dejan Eric	Anna Remisova
Anja Geigenmüller	Rudi Rozman
Bruno Grancelli	Mitja Ruzzier
Igor Gurkov	Klaus-Peter Schulz
Balázs Heidrich	Tobias Specker
Joachim Hentze	Markus Stiglbauer
Niels Hermes	Erna Szabo
Nigel Holden	Erwin Vondenhoff
Graham Hollinshead	Dieter Wagner
Dirk Holtbrügge	Peter Wald
Akihiro Ishikawa	Ingo Winkler
Steffen Jahn	Bruno Završnik

Editorial Committee

Thomas Steger

Irma Rybnikova

Rainhart Lang