

Editorial Statistics 2010

Papers submitted 55

Of those papers were:

- rejected by editorial decision 12
- handed back to authors for revision (by editorial decision) 17
- altered to Research Notes 6
- submitted to double-blind review 23
- rejected by unanimous reviewer decision 2
- Rejection rate: 25%
- withdrawn by the authors --
- accepted for publication after revision 11
- published as Articles in 2010 1
- published as Research Notes in 2010 4
- scheduled to be published in 2011 6

Average feedback duration
(i.e. time between submission of a paper and feedback): 45 days

Feedback loops of more than 100 days
(Editorial committee target line): 10

Reviews provided: 70

We would like to thank the following reviewers for their co-operation and support provided to our journal (and to the paper submitters) through their critical and fair reviews in 2009:

Maike Andresen	Pieter Jansen
Gyula Bakacsi	Jure Kovac
Karoly Balaton	Kari Liuhto
Grzegorz Belz	Shyqyri Llaci
Nicolae Bibu	Ralph-Elmar Lungwitz
Katharina Bluhm	Csaba Mako
Dorota Joanna Bourne	Milan Maly
Markus Braun	Wolfgang Mayrhofer
Doina Catana	Jean-Pierre Neveu

Tomaz Čater	Raimo Nurmi
Irem Demirkan	Aleksy Poczowski
Evelyn Dietrich	Theo Postma
Eckhard Dittrich	Danijel Pucko
Wolfgang Dorow	Sheila Puffer
John Erpenbeck	Anna Remisova
Jan Hendrik Fisch	Mitja Ruzzier
Bruno Grancelli	Tobias Specker
Igor Gurkov	Christo Stojanov
Niels Hermes	Dieter Wagner
Graham Hollinshead	Peter Wald
Dirk Holtbrügge	Ingo Winkler
Steffen Jahn	Bruno Završnik

Editorial Committee

Rainhart Lang

Thomas Steger

Irma Rybnikova