

Editorial Statistics 2009

Papers submitted 25

Of those papers were:

- rejected by editorial decision 14
- altered to Research Notes 3
- submitted to double-blind review 8
- rejected after the first review round 3
- handed back to authors for revision 6
- accepted for publication after the first review round 1
- Rejection rate: 68%
- revised and re-submitted to double-blind review 3
- withdrawn by the authors --
- accepted for publication after revision 3
- published as Articles in 2008 2
- published as Research Notes in 2008 2
- scheduled to be published in 2009 3

Average feedback duration
(i.e. time between submission of a paper and feedback): 49 days

Feedback loops of more than 100 days
(Editorial committee target line): 5

Reviews provided: 53

We would like to thank the following reviewers for their co-operation and support provided to our journal through their critical and fair reviews in 2009:

Gyula Bakacsi	Kari Liuhto
Dorota Joanna Bourne	Shyqyri Llaci
Zoltán Buzády	Ralph-Elmar Lungwitz
Doina Catana	Csaba Mako
Irem Demirkan	Milan Maly
Eckhard Dittrich	Snejina Michailova
Wolfgang Dorow	Jean-Pierre Neveu

Margit Enke	Raimo Nurmi
Jan Hendrik Fisch	Evgeny Polyakov
Mike Geppert	Sheila Puffer
Bruno Grancelli	Anna Remisova
Igor Gurkov	Tobias Specker
Joachim Hentze	Johannes Steyrer
Niels Hermes	Erna Szabo
Nigel Holden	Dieter Wagner
Graham Hollinshead	Peter Wald
Dirk Holtbrügge	Ingo Winkler
Jure Kovac	

Editorial Committee

Rainhart Lang

Thomas Steger

Irma Rybnikova