

## Editorial Statistics 2008

Papers submitted	40
Of those papers were:	
• rejected by editorial decision	17
• altered to Research Notes	4
• submitted to double-blind review	19
• rejected after the first review round	1
• handed back to authors for revision	9
• accepted for publication after the first review round	2
• Rejection rate:	45%
• revised and re-submitted to double-blind review	5
• withdrawn by the authors	--
• accepted for publication after revision	8
• published as Articles in 2008	1
• published as Research Notes in 2008	3
• scheduled to be published in 2009	6
Average feedback duration (i.e. time between submission of a paper and feedback):	68 days
Feedback loops of more than 100 days (Editorial committee target line):	3
Reviews provided:	37

We shall take the opportunity to thank the following reviewers for their valuable support provided to our journal through their critical and fair reviews in 2008:

Ruth Alas	Dirk Holtbrügge
Karoly Balaton	Kari Liuhto
Grzegorz Belz	Wladimir Maslow
Nicolae Bibu	Daniel McCarthy
Zoltán Buzády	Snejina Michailova
Tomaz Cater	Jean-Pierre Neveu
Eckhard Dittrich	Raimo Nurmi

Vince Edwards  
Jan Hendrik Fisch  
Hansjörg Gaus  
Igor Gurkov  
Joachim Hentze  
Nigel Holden

Danijel Pucko  
Julia Rozanova  
Tobias Specker  
Johannes Steyrer  
Dieter Wagner  
Peter Wald

Editorial Committee

Rainhart Lang

Thomas Steger

Irma Rybnikova