Editorial Statistics 2014

Papers submitted 105 (+48%)

Of those papers were:

- rejected by editorial decision 54
- handed back to authors for revision (by editorial decision) 22
  - submitted to double-blind review after initial revision 4
  - rejected by editorial decision after initial revision 1
  - withdrawn by the authors 1
- altered to Research Notes 4
- directly submitted to double-blind review 25
- rejected by unanimous reviewer decision --
- Rejection rate: 52%
- withdrawn by the authors 1
- cancelled by editorial decision --
- accepted for publication after revision 7
  - published as Articles in 2014 --
  - published as Research Notes in 2014 --
  - scheduled to be published in 2015/2016 7

Average feedback duration
(i.e. time between submission of a paper and feedback): 59 days

Feedback loops of more than 100 days
(Editorial committee target line): 23

Reviews provided: 89
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