Editorial Statistics 2012

Papers submitted 86

Of those papers were:

- rejected by editorial decision 31
- handed back to authors for revision (by editorial decision) 34
- altered to Research Notes 2
- directly submitted to double-blind review 19
- submitted to double-blind review after initial revision 2
- rejected by unanimous reviewer decision --
- Rejection rate: 36%
- withdrawn by the authors 7
- cancelled by editorial decision 6
- accepted for publication after revision 11
- published as Articles in 2011 2
- published as Research Notes in 2011 --
- scheduled to be published in 2012 9

Average feedback duration
(i.e. time between submission of a paper and feedback): 45 days

Feedback loops of more than 100 days
(Editorial committee target line): 17

Reviews provided: 168
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