Editorial Statistics 2010

Papers submitted 55

Of those papers were:

- rejected by editorial decision 12
- handed back to authors for revision (by editorial decision) 17
- altered to Research Notes 6
- submitted to double-blind review 23
- rejected by unanimous reviewer decision 2
- Rejection rate: 25%
- withdrawn by the authors --
- accepted for publication after revision 11
- published as Articles in 2010 1
- published as Research Notes in 2010 4
- scheduled to be published in 2011 6

Average feedback duration
(i.e. time between submission of a paper and feedback): 45 days

Feedback loops of more than 100 days
(EDITORIAL committee target line): 10

Reviews provided: 70
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